LUMO INSIGHTS

Friday, 21 August 2026

Big AI bets, hard ROI lessons: The new reality check

Executive Summary

Despite fervent AI investment, new data shows most companies struggle to see tangible returns. As costs surge and stakeholders demand proof of value, a handful of firms are finding ways to turn AI hype into real business impact.

The AI ROI gap: hype vs. reality

Global AI adoption has soared - 88% of organisations now use AI in at least one business function. Yet a majority have little to show for it. A global CEO survey found 56% of chief executives reported no measurable revenue growth or cost reduction from AI in the past year. By late 2025, a Morgan Stanley analysis found only 21% of S&P 500 companies could cite any tangible business benefit from their AI initiatives (www.terminal-x.ai [1]). This yawning gap between investment and outcomes is increasingly hard for leaders to ignore.

A recent MIT study underscores how rare real ROI from AI still is: 95% of AI pilot projects delivered zero measurable profit impact (www.terminal-x.ai [2]). Similarly, only 28% of AI use cases fully meet their ROI expectations, according to a 2026 survey of IT leaders by Gartner (aireturn.io [3]). In short, the vast majority of AI projects have failed to live up to their promised financial returns, underscoring the ongoing disconnect between enthusiastic AI adoption and actual business value.

Interestingly, some surveys reveal optimism amid these stark figures. A July study by SAP and Oxford Economics found companies expect an average 21% ROI on their AI investments this year, up from 16% last year, with projected ROI rising to 38% in two years (news.sap.com [4]). However, as SAP's own AI strategy chief cautions, AI that isn't tightly linked to the right domain context - the right data, processes and governance - can "create activity without outcomes" and even add risk (news.sap.com [5]). The message: without a clear business rationale and measurable targets, increased spending won't automatically translate into real gains.

References:

[1] www.terminal-x.ai — https://www.terminal-x.ai/research/ai-roi-in-2026-why-most-enterprise-ai-fails-and-what-actually-works#:~:text=The%20data%20is%20stark,500%20companies%20could%20cite%20a

[2] www.terminal-x.ai — https://www.terminal-x.ai/research/ai-roi-in-2026-why-most-enterprise-ai-fails-and-what-actually-works#:~:text=The%20data%20is%20stark,500%20companies%20could%20cite%20a

[3] aireturn.io — https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=spent.%20,of%20organizations%20abandoned%20most%20of

[4] news.sap.com — https://news.sap.com/2026/07/business-value-ai-spiking-increased-adoption-agentic-expectations/#:~:text=business%20spends%20on%20AI%20increased,surveyed%202%2C600%20business%20leaders%20across

[5] news.sap.com — https://news.sap.com/2026/07/business-value-ai-spiking-increased-adoption-agentic-expectations/#:~:text=13%20countries.,AI%20inching%20closer%20to%20enterprise

The cost of AI: investment overload

From cloud giants to traditional enterprises, spending on AI has surged to unprecedented levels. The four largest tech firms are on track to pour roughly $675 billion into AI-related infrastructure in 2026, a 63% jump from the prior year (www.terminal-x.ai [1]). Enterprise AI budgets across industries are swelling rapidly as companies race to deploy generative AI and automation.

This investment spree has led to some costly wake-up calls. Uber, for example, gave 5,000 of its engineers access to an AI coding assistant, only to burn through its entire annual AI budget in just four months. The company's COO admitted they "cannot draw a clear line" between this widespread AI use (which now generates 70% of Uber's code) and any tangible product improvements (www.thestreet.com [2]), making the hefty price tag hard to justify. In one extreme instance, an unnamed firm reportedly racked up $500 million in AI cloud bills in a single month by failing to put limits on usage. These examples illustrate how easily well-intentioned AI initiatives can spiral into major cost overruns without delivering commensurate value.

Such episodes are forcing companies to rethink the economics of AI. Many CFOs have implemented stricter cost controls and FinOps practices - 98% of finance teams now actively manage AI spend, up from just 63% a year ago (aireturn.io [3]). Organisations are also re evaluating their "build vs. buy" decisions. Some are turning to open source or in house AI models to reduce dependence on expensive third-party AI services. Industry analyses indicate that open source models can achieve comparable performance for as much as 86% lower operating cost in suitable use cases (www.swfte.com [4]). The goal is to ensure that AI investments drive efficiency and profit, not just bigger cloud bills.

References:

[1] www.terminal-x.ai — https://www.terminal-x.ai/research/ai-roi-in-2026-why-most-enterprise-ai-fails-and-what-actually-works#:~:text=Hyperscalers%20are%20on%20track%20to,answer%20is%20whether%20it%E2%80%99s%20working
[2] www.thestreet.com — https://www.thestreet.com/markets/uber-ceo-coo-sends-a-stark-message-on-ai-spending-in-2026#:~:text=success,and%20quantum%20computing%20Anthropic%20CEO
[3] aireturn.io — https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=,FinOps%20Foundation%2C%202026
[4] www.swfte.com — https://www.swfte.com/blog/open-source-llm-cost-savings-guide#:~:text=of%20proprietary%20model%20use%20case,for%20enterprises%20to%20leverage%20open

Scrutiny from investors and boards

After years of exuberant spending on AI, boards and investors are increasingly impatient for results. According to a recent finance survey, 66% of corporate boards now demand hard proof of ROI before approving new AI projects (aireturn.io [1]). Only a quarter of boards are still willing to green-light AI initiatives on faith alone (www.cloudzero.com [2]). The era of signing blank cheques for AI experiments is over - every proposal is expected to articulate measurable business impact.

Public markets have likewise started penalising tech companies for costly AI bets that lack clear returns. In late July, Alphabet's stock price fell ~7% after the company boosted its 2026 AI capital spending to over $190 billion, pushing free cash flow into negative territory (www.joineta.org [3]). Meta's shares also slipped when it raised AI invest-ment to $130 - $145 billion without demonstrating commensurate profits (www.joineta.org [4]). By contrast, Microsoft's stock surged on strong earnings paired with its AI initiatives (www.joineta.org [5]), suggesting investors reward tangible performance over spending hype. As one industry analyst noted, "the market has stopped rewarding AI spending as a signal of ambition and started grading it on attribution" (www.joineta.org [6]). This more sceptical stance is rippling down to companies of all sizes - boards are echoing Wall Street in asking which specific revenue or efficiency gains can be tied to each AI dollar spent.

Investors are also shortening the expected timeline for results. A recent CEO and investor survey found 53% of investors now expect to see positive returns from AI in six months or less (www.cio.com [7]) - a timeline many tech leaders consider unrealistic, given that meaningful AI payoffs often take 2 - 4 years (www.deloitte.com [8]). Nonetheless, the pressure is on: senior executives who began 2026 fearing they had only until mid-year to prove AI's value have largely avoided immediate fallout (www.cio.com [9]), but the scrutiny has only intensified. The message from stakeholders is clear: show the value of AI quickly or risk a pullback in support and funding.

References:

[1] aireturn.io — https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=,FinOps%20Foundation%2C%202026

[2] www.cloudzero.com — https://www.cloudzero.com/finance-needs-ai-roi-2026-survey-report/#:~:text=CloudZero%20www.cloudzero.com%20%20Only%2026,a%20number%20they%20can%E2%80%99t%20give

[3] www.joineta.org — https://www.joineta.org/blog/ai-investment-roundup-august-2026#:~:text=spending%20Alphabet%20reported%20on%20July,to%20%24145%20billion%3B%20the%20stock

[4] www.joineta.org — https://www.joineta.org/blog/ai-investment-roundup-august-2026#:~:text=and%20free%20cash%20flow%20turned,matters%20The%20market%20has%20stopped

[5] www.joineta.org — https://www.joineta.org/blog/ai-investment-roundup-august-2026#:~:text=and%20free%20cash%20flow%20turned,year

[6] www.joineta.org — https://www.joineta.org/blog/ai-investment-roundup-august-2026#:~:text=2026%20capital%20expenditure%20to%20%24220,hyperscalers%3A%20which%20specific%20revenue%20or

[7] www.cio.com — https://www.cio.com/article/4114010/2026-the-year-ai-roi-gets-real.html#:~:text=noting%20that%2053,managing%20partner%20at%20IBM%20Consulting

[8] www.deloitte.com — https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/ai/ai-roi-the-paradox-of-rising-investment-and-elusive-returns.html#:~:text=complicated,In%20ten%20percent%20of%20organizations

[9] www.cio.com — https://www.cio.com/article/4207540/cios-earn-ai-reprieve-but-roi-pressure-is-surging.html#:~:text=CEOs%E2%80%99%20No,Still%2C%20there%20hasn%E2%80%99t%20been%20a

Why so many AI initiatives underperform

Why are the vast majority of AI initiatives failing to deliver? Often, the issue isn't the algorithms but the lack of strategic alignment and execution. Analysts observe that most AI project failures are due not to technical shortfalls but to a "proof" problem - an inability to demonstrate tangible business value (aireturn.io [1]). In fact, the average enterprise has scrapped 46% of its AI proof-of-concept projects before they ever reached production, simply because those pilots didn't prove their worth (aireturn.io [2]). And in the case of generative AI pilots, more than half have been abandoned at the experimental stage due to issues like poor data quality, lack of governance, or runaway costs.

Organisational factors further explain the ROI shortfall. Many companies rush to implement AI without integrating it into their core processes or training their workforce to use it effectively. Without a strong connection between business-domain expertise and AI systems, even a promising algorithm can fail to gain adoption or deliver results (petri.com [3]) (petri.com [4]). Companies that neglect data readiness, change management, and governance often find that AI initiatives remain stuck in pilot mode, creating interesting demos but not scalable impact.

There's also a prevailing misconception that better technology alone guarantees better results. In reality, the companies that succeed with AI focus as much on process and people as on models. As a BCG-supported study found, the "dominant determinant of enterprise AI ROI is workflow + people change rather than model selection". In practice, this means the lion's share of AI budget (and risk) lies in how well organisations adapt their operations and upskill their employees to leverage AI, rather than which algorithm they pick. Failing to plan for those non-technical factors is a recipe for underperformance.

References:

[1] aireturn.io — https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=initiatives%20rose%20from%20%6017,they%20stopped%20being%20defensible%20when

[2] aireturn.io — https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=initiatives%20rose%20from%20%6017,they%20stopped%20being%20defensible%20when

[3] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=connection%20between%20business%20expertise%20and,data%20more%20accessible%2C%20invest%20in
[4] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=decision,data%20more%20accessible%2C%20invest%20in

Strategies for realising value from AI

A small minority of companies are bucking the trend and turning AI investments into concrete gains. What sets these AI “leaders” apart is a disciplined, value-first approach. They start with clear business problems and success metrics, ensure access to quality data, and embed AI into workflows so it directly improves core operations. For example, Bank of America’s AI-driven virtual assistant “Erica” has reportedly handled over 1 billion customer interactions and reduced call centre volumes by 50%, cutting costs while boosting customer satisfaction (www.forbes.com [1]). Similarly, DoorDash saved millions of dollars by implementing an AI-powered voice ordering system for its restaurants, streamlining customer calls and reducing labour needs (www.forbes.com [2]). These wins aren’t about flashy tech demos - they are about solving specific pain points and measuring the results in terms executives care about (like cost savings, revenue growth, and customer experience).

Leaders in AI also invest heavily in the less glamorous aspects of deployment: change management, training, and governance. They establish cross-functional teams so that IT and business units work hand-in-hand, ensuring that AI solutions address real operational needs (petri.com [3]) (petri.com [4]). They set up robust oversight (from responsible AI frameworks to AI-focused governance boards) to monitor outcomes, manage risks, and adjust course quickly (petri.com [5]) (petri.com [6]). By building these foundations, organisations create an environment where AI tools are actually adopted by employees and customers, rather than sitting unused on the shelf.

The payoff of getting it right is significant. In PwC’s 2026 AI survey, 20% of companies are capturing 74% of all the economic value generated by AI, while the bottom 80% see little to no meaningful financial gains (airisingtrends.com [7]). Top-performing firms are seeing over 7× higher returns from AI than their average peers (airisingtrends.com [8]). These leaders continue to expand successful use cases and automate decisions at scale, widening the gap further. For everyone else, the implication is clear - without a sharp focus on ROI, strategic alignment, and execution, AI investments will continue to underdeliver.

References:
[1] www.forbes.com — https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2026/08/10/8-companies-proving-ai-can-deliver-real-roi/#:~:text=DoorDash%20saved%20millions%20with%20a,metrics%20like%20productivity%20or%20customer
[2] www.forbes.com — https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2026/08/10/8-companies-proving-ai-can-deliver-real-roi/#:~:text=DoorDash%20saved%20millions%20with%20a,metrics%20like%20productivity%20or%20customer
[3] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=connection%20between%20business%20expertise%20and,reduce%20barriers%20that%20prevent%20employees
[4] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=decision,Lastly%2C%20organizations%20must
[5] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=together%20to%20ensure%20AI%20tools,data%20more%20accessible%2C%20invest%20in
[6] petri.com — https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/#:~:text=decision,data%20more%20accessible%2C%20invest%20in
[7] airisingtrends.com — https://airisingtrends.com/pwc-ai-roi-gap-study-2026/#:~:text=2026%20AI%20Performance%20Study%2C%20published,significant%20financial%20benefit%20from%20it
[8] airisingtrends.com — https://airisingtrends.com/pwc-ai-roi-gap-study-2026/#:~:text=The%20headline%3A%20the%20top%2020,Seven%20point

Key Statistics

- 95% of AI pilot projects delivered no measurable profit impact, according to a recent MIT study ([www.terminal-x.ai](https://www.terminal-x.ai/research/ai-roi-in-2026-why-most-enterprise-ai-fails-and-what-actually-works#:~:text=The%20data%20is%20stark,500%20companies%20could%20cite%20a))
- 42% of companies abandoned most of their AI initiatives in 2025, up from 17% the year before (S&P Global) ([aireturn.io](https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=Organizations%20th

at%20abandoned%20most%20AI,782%20I%26O%20leaders%29Apr%202026))

- 66% of corporate boards now require proof of ROI before approving new AI investments ([aireturn.io](https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026#:~:text=,FinOps%20Foundation%2C%202026))
- Open source AI models can deliver similar performance for up to 86% lower operating cost than proprietary models ([www.swfte.com](https://www.swfte.com/blog/open-source-llm-cost-savings-guide#:~:text=of%20proprietary%20model%20use%20case,for%20enterprises%20to%20leverage%20open))

KEY TAKEAWAY

Re-evaluate your AI initiatives with a value lens. Demand concrete ROI metrics for every project and rein in runaway costs. Prioritise AI deployments tied to clear business outcomes, and don't hesitate to cut or refocus experiments that aren't delivering.

Sources

8 Companies Proving AI Can Deliver Real ROI - Forbes
https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2026/08/10/8-companies-proving-ai-can-deliver-real-roi/

AI ROI in 2026: Why Most Enterprise AI Fails (And What Actually Works) - Terminal X Research
https://www.terminal-x.ai/research/ai-roi-in-2026-why-most-enterprise-ai-fails-and-what-actually-works

CIOs earn AI reprieve, but ROI pressure is surging - CIO.com
https://www.cio.com/article/4207540/cios-earn-ai-reprieve-but-roi-pressure-is-surging.html

AI Investment Roundup (August 2026) - Enterprise Technology Association
https://www.joineta.org/blog/ai-investment-roundup-august-2026

Business Value of AI Is Spiking, Driven by Increased Adoption and Agentic Expectations, SAP Finds - SAP News (SAP/Oxford Economics study)
https://news.sap.com/2026/07/business-value-ai-spiking-increased-adoption-agentic-expectations/

The AI ROI Reckoning: Why 2026 Is the Year AI Has to Prove Itself - AIReturn (CloudZero blog)
https://aireturn.io/blog/ai-roi-reckoning-2026

AI ROI Grows, but Business Expertise Gap Persists - Petri.com
https://petri.com/ai-roi-business-expertise-gap/

IBM Newsroom: IBM Introduces Apptio AI Value & ROI to Close the Gap Between AI Spend and Business Results
https://newsroom.ibm.com/2026-08-06-IBM-Introduces-Apptio-AI-Value-ROI-to-Close-the-Gap-Between-AI-Spend-and-Business-Results